

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-103 remain in this application.

In the Office Action dated December 12, 2003, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-103 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The Examiner has maintained the position that the term "polycyanates" is confusing. Applicants have amended claims 1, 11-14, 31, 41-42, 59, 69-70 and 101-102. Support for the amendments can be found in the specification on page 4, lines 27-30. The claim amendments are made for clarification purposes only; and thus, no subject matter has been surrendered and the claims have not been narrowed by said claim amendments. Applicants submit that as-amended the claims overcome this rejection.

Applicants further submit that claims 1-103 of this patent case are in condition for allowance, and thus request reconsideration of the claims.

Very truly yours,

Carol A. Marmo

Registration No. 39,761 Attorney for Applicant

,

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania February 9, 2004